Isomer Effect in Positron and Electron Scattering from C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>6</sub> Molecules

## <sup>1,2</sup>C. Makochekanwa, <sup>3</sup>O. Sueoka, <sup>1</sup>M. Kimura, <sup>2</sup>H. Kato, <sup>2</sup>M. Hoshino, <sup>2</sup>M. Kitajima, <sup>4</sup>H. Cho and <sup>2</sup>H. Tanaka

<sup>1</sup>Graduate School of Sciences, Kyushu University, Fukuoka 812-8581, Japan <sup>2</sup>Physics Department, Sophia University, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo 102-8554, Japan <sup>3</sup>Department of Applied Science, Faculty of Engineering, Yamaguchi University, Ube 755 – 8611, Japan

<sup>4</sup>Physics Department, Chungnam National University, Daejeon 305-764, South Korea

Hydrocarbons play an important role in high temperature plasmas in Tokamak fusion devices in plasma processing and many other fields [1], and have thus attracted research attention especially in electron scattering. Not only is a comparative study with positron impact invaluable in revealing more information of the scattering dynamics, but the positron and positronium impact physics is increasingly offering new areas of research interests [2].

In this work, total cross sections for the two stable isomers of the  $C_3H_6$  molecules; propene [H<sub>3</sub>C-C=CH<sub>2</sub>] and cyclo-propane  $[cyclic(H_2C-CH_2-CH_2)]$  are studied for the isomer effect owing to their characteristic physical and differences in chemical properties. The total cross sections (TCSs) measurements were carried out for 0.4-1000 eV electron and 0.2-1000 eV positron impact using a linear time-of-flight apparatus [3]. The Continuum Multiple Scattering (CMS) [4] calculations have also been performed for the theoretical analysis of the observed features in the TCSs. Some of the results obtained are shown in Fig. 1. Both TCSs are gradually decreasing below 2 eV, show the broad 4 - 40 eV peak split into two by a minimum at 10 eV for C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>6</sub>, and 13 eV for cyclo-C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>6</sub>, and gradually decrease above 30 eV. However, the isomer effect is clearly manifested in C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>6</sub> TCSs being greater than

cyclo-C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>6</sub> TCSs in the range 2.2 - 25 eV by an average 18% and vice versa in the energy range 30 - 90 eV. Detailed comparative studies with the electron results will be presented at the conference.



Fig. 1  $C_3H_6$  and cyclo- $C_3H_6$  positron TCSs. Arrows show the thresholds for ionization,  $E_{ion}$ , and positronium formation,  $E_{P_s}$ , i.e. pointing downwards for  $C_3H_6$  and upwards for cyclo- $C_3H_6$ .

## References

- [1] W. L. Moragn, Adv. At. Mol. Opt. Phys. 43, 79 (2000).
- [2] J. Mitroy, M. W. J. Bromley and G. G. Ryzhikh, J. Phys. B 35, R81 (2002).
- [3] O. Sueoka, S. Mori and A. Hamada, J. Phys. B 27, 1453 (1994).
- [4] M. Kimura and H. Sato, Comments At. Mol. Phys. 26, 333 (1991).